

Sediment Management Area				Data Gaps														
Name	River side	River miles	Approximate Area (acres)	Nature and Extent of Off-Shore Contamination					Justification	Contaminant Source Areas and Transport Pathways				Evaluation of Remedial Action Alternatives				
				COIs	Lateral Extent	Vertical Extent	Transition Zone Water	Surface Water		Source Area	Storm-water	Ground-water	Bank Erosion	Justification	MNR	Treatability Studies	Recontami- nation	Justification
Gunderson / Shell	W	8.1 - 9.4	151.96	yes (VOCs [particularly off Area 1], dioxins?, Mn)	yes (behind Texaco dock & behind dock off Area 3)	yes (behind Texaco dock & south end of Area 5)	yes (waiting on TZW sample results off Area 1)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)	COI- limited VOC and Mn data L & V Extent- limited samples behind docks, no sub data off James R., evaluating discharge from Outfall 18	no (Gunderson upland only) / yes (non-Gunderson property, i.e., potential sources contributing to OF 18)	yes (currently under investigation) (stormwater source control action will likely be required in Areas 2 & 3)	yes (currently under investigation & under source control action)	yes (currently under investigation) (bank source control action will likely be required in Areas 2 & 3)	Source Area- Potential sources contributing to OF18 not yet fully defined	yes	unknown	yes	depositional area
Fireboat / GE / Galvanizers / OFs 16 & 17	W	9.45 - 9.7	14.58	yes (dioxins?, otherwise, no, sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (pending more thorough review of sediment data)	yes (pending more thorough review of sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		yes (potential sources contributing to OFs)	yes	no	no	Source Area- Potential sources contributing to OF not yet fully defined)				
Goldendale / UPRR	E	9.9 -10.1	6.65	no (sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (pending more thorough review of sediment data)	yes (pending more thorough review of sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		no / no	no (Goldendale) / yes (UPRR)	no / no	unknown					
Outfall 47	E	9.5 -10.0	33.80	*(1)	*(1)	*(1)	*(1)	*(1)	yes (potential sources contributing to OF 47)	yes	no	no	Source Area- Potential sources contributing to OF not yet fully defined)					
Shipyard / Lagoon	E	7.5 - 9.0	168.52	yes (dioxins?, otherwise, no, sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (vertical N&E fairly well defined, may need supplement al sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	yes (surface water transect needed at mouth of SI Lagoon to understand COI transport)	Extent- additional data for hot spot identification & to supplement definition of N&E	no (upland Shipyard) / yes (upland sources to SI Lagoon other than Shipyard)	yes (Shipyard stormwater will be investigated) yes (stormwater sources to SI Lagoon other than Shipyard)	yes (Shipyard GW currently under investigation) yes (upland GW sources to SI Lagoon other than Shipyard)	yes (Shipyard bank soil currently under investigation) yes (bank soil other than Shipyard)	Stormwater- expect significant loading to SI Lagoon via stormwater	yes	unknown	yes	depositional area
Willbridge	W	7.4 - 7.9	32.99	no	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplement al sediment data)	yes (vertical N&E fairly well defined, may need supplement al sediment data)	yes (waiting on TZW sample results)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		no	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)					
Willamette Cove	E	6.3 - 6.8	26.74	yes (dioxins?, otherwise, no, sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (vertical N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		no	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)					
Marcom	E	5.5 - 5.8	8.25	yes (Mn)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (vertical N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	yes	Extent- lateral extent towards the channel, vertical extent towards channel and Cathedral Park Surface Water- to understand source loading	no (N Parcel) yes (S Parcel) yes (upland off-site stormwater sources)	yes (upland off-site stormwater sources & potential S Parcel sources)	no (N parcel) yes (S Parcel)	yes (will need to be evaluated in both N & S Parcels)	Sources & GW- upland RI not complete for South parcel, Stormwater-loading, Bank-sand blast grit present in bank	yes	unknown	yes	MNR on fringes
Schnitzer Burgard	E	3.7 - 4.2	31.72	yes (dioxins?, PBDE)	yes (largely dependent on 2B cores)	yes (largely dependent on 2B cores)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)	COIs- previous PBDE hit, dioxin possibly connected to PCBs	no (Schnitzer) yes (upland stormwater sources)	yes (stormwater currently under investigation)	yes (GW currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)	GW & Bank erosion- dependent upon the completion of RI, Stormwater- potential significant migration pathway	yes	unknown	yes	MNR on fringes
OSM/ OF 53A	E	2.0 - 2.7	22.72	yes (Mn)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (vertical N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		no (OSM) yes (for sites other than OSM)	yes (currently under investigation at OSM)	yes (currently under investigation at OSM)	yes (currently under investigation at OSM)					
US Moorings	W	5.95 - 6.1	7.08	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)	*(2)					
Crawford/ BES	E	5.9 - 6.2	5.03	no (sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (vertical N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		yes	yes	yes	yes	BES Lab- DEQ needs to obtain & review the XPA to determine if any data gaps exist Crawford St- Beach sand removal not totally effective, DEQ needs to re-engage RP				
St. Johns West	W	5.65 - 5.9	4.64	no (sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (vertical N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		no (Marine Finance property) yes (overwater structures)	yes (currently under investigation)	no	no					
Outfall 48	E	7.1 - 7.2	2.32	no (sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (subsurface metals & PAH need further delineation)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)	only City surface data available near outfall	yes (potential sources contributing to OF 48)	yes	no	n=N11o	Source Area- Potential sources contributing to OF not yet fully defined)	yes	unknown	yes	
Sultzer	W	10.2 - 10.4	6.30	yes (dioxins?, otherwise, no, sediment samples were analyzed for full PH suite of COI)	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (subsurface metals & PAH need further delineation)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		no	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)	unknown					
RPAC / Arkema	W	6.3 - 7.5	54.49	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (subsurface metals & PAH need further delineation)	yes (subsurface metals & PAH need further delineation)	yes (waiting on TZW sample results)	yes (currently under investigation)		no	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)					
Northwest Natural (Gasco)	W	6.05 - 6.6	23.10	no	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (subsurface metals & PAH need further delineation)	yes (waiting on TZW sample results)	yes (currently under investigation)		no	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)					
Time / Premier Edible Oil	E	3.45 - 3.7	6.85	no	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (subsurface metals & PAH need further delineation)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		yes (currently under investigation) yes (potential sources contributing to OF S5)	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)					
S-5 outfall	E	9.25 - 9.3	1.48	*(1)	*(1)	*(1)	*(1)	*(1)		yes	no	no	no (for Sitrionic's HVOC release)					
Sitrionic chlorinated VOCs	W	6.4 - 6.45	0.68	no	no	no	no	yes (currently under investigation)		yes	yes (currently under investigation)	yes (currently under investigation)						
Triangle Park	E	7.3 - 7.5	12.27	no	yes (lateral N&E fairly well defined, may need supplemental sediment data)	yes (subsurface metals & PAH need further delineation)	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		no	no	yes (currently under investigation)	no					
Downstream PAHs	W	3.1 - 6.9	292.28	yes (PAH fingerprint g?)	yes	yes	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)	attempting to further identify hot spots and local sources of PAHs, define extant into the Willamette River channel and Multnomah channel	not applicable	not applicable	not applicable	not applicable	yes	unknown	yes		
Downstream DDT	W	3.1 - 6.3	90.49	yes (potential fingerprinting needed to distinguish certain Arkema COIs from RPAC COIs)	yes	yes	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)		not applicable	not applicable	not applicable	not applicable					
Site-Wide PCB and DDT	Both	2.0 - 11.0	2080.00	yes (dioxin?, PBDE, Mn)	yes	yes	yes (could be filled by equilibrium partitioning calculations)	no (surface wrt concentrations should be able to be estimated by TZW & sed concentrations thru equilibrium partitioning)	extent should look downstream (including Multnomah channel) and upstream	yes	yes	yes	yes	complete upland source ID and link to inwater data	yes	unknown	yes	

Notes:

Data gaps identified as yes, no, unknown or not applicable

Contaminant transport data gaps generally to be addressed by upland facilities

Footnotes

*(1) Potential upland source area(s) not in DEQ's Cleanup Program therefore these potential data gaps should be defined by EPA/partners team

*(2) EPA is lead for upland investigation therefore these potential data gaps should be identified by EPA/partners team

Stormwater includes runoff directed to conveyance systems & overland runoff (i.e., sheetflow)